

This section is about the concept of distance in \mathbb{R} and the related concepts of open and closed.

0.13 Topology of \mathbb{R}

:

Definition: If $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\epsilon > 0$ then the open interval $N(x; \epsilon) = (x - \epsilon, x + \epsilon) = \{y : |y - x| < \epsilon\}$ is an ϵ -neighborhood of x . We further define $N^*(x; \epsilon) = N(x, \epsilon) \setminus \{x\} = \{y : 0 < |y - x| < \epsilon\}$ to be the deleted ϵ -neighborhood of x .

Picture: These are the points within a distance of ϵ of x .

Definition: Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. If $x \in S$ then x is said to be an interior point of S if there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ so that $N(x; \epsilon) \subseteq S$. The set of all interior points of S is the *interior* of S and is denoted $\text{int}(S)$. If $x \in \mathbb{R}$ then x is said to be a boundary point of S if, for all $\epsilon > 0$ $N(x, \epsilon) \cap S \neq \emptyset$ and $N(x, \epsilon) \cap S^c \neq \emptyset$. The set of all boundary points of S forms the *boundary* of S and is denoted $\text{bd } S$.

Observe that no point can be both an interior and boundary point of S .

Examples: Find $\text{int } S$ and $\text{bd } S$ if $S = (0, 1]$, or $S = (-2, 0) \cup (0, 1]$ or $S = \{1/n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$

Definition: A set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is open if for every $x \in S$ there is $\epsilon > 0$ so that $N(x; \epsilon) \subseteq S$. A set S is closed if its complement $S^c = \mathbb{R} \setminus S$ is open.

Theorem 0.1. A set S is open iff $S = \text{int } S$. A set S is closed iff $\text{bd}(S)$ is contained in S .

Proof. Observe in general that $\text{int } S \subseteq S$.

Now suppose that S is open. Then for every $x \in S$, there is $\epsilon > 0$ so that $N(x, \epsilon) \subseteq S$ and this just says $x \in \text{int } S$ and since x was arbitrary we have shown that $S \subseteq \text{int } S$ or equivalently $S = \text{int } S$.

Conversely suppose the $\text{int } S = S$. Then if $x \in S$ then x is an interior point and there is an $\epsilon > 0$ so that $N(x, \epsilon) \subseteq S$. But this says S is open.

Now suppose that S is closed and $x \in \text{bd}(S)$. Then for every $\epsilon > 0$ $N(x, \epsilon) \cap S^c \neq \emptyset$. But S^c is open and so this implies that $x \notin S^c$ and that means $x \in S$.

Conversely suppose $\text{bd}(S)$ is contained in S . Suppose that $x \in S^c$. Then there must exist $\epsilon > 0$ so that $N(x, \epsilon) \cap S = \emptyset$ for if not then x belongs to $\text{bd}(S)$ and it can't because $\text{bd}(S) \subseteq S$. □

Exercise: Show that a set is open if and only if $S \cap \text{bd } S = \emptyset$

Example: Is $S = (1, 3)$ open? Suppose that $x \in (1, 3)$ so that $1 < x < 3$. Choose $\epsilon = \min\{3 - x, x - 1\}$ the $N(x, \epsilon) \subseteq (1, 3)$. What is $\text{bd}(S)$? It is $\{1, 3\}$. This illustrates that an interval (a, b) is open $[a, b)$ is closed; (a, b) and $[a, b)$ are neither open nor closed.

The empty set is open. Why? \mathbb{R} is also open. Why? It follows that \emptyset is both open and closed (or *clopen*) and the same for \mathbb{R} .

Theorem 0.2. *The union $\cup_{\alpha} S_{\alpha}$ of an arbitrary collection $\{S_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A\}$, where A is just an index set, of open sets is open.*

The intersection $\cap_{i=1}^n S_i$ of a finite number of open sets S_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$ is open.

Proof. Suppose $x \in \cup_{\alpha} S_{\alpha}$. Then there is $\alpha_0 \in A$ so that $x \in S_{\alpha_0}$. Because S_{α_0} is open there is an $\epsilon > 0$ so that $N(x, \epsilon) \subseteq S_{\alpha_0}$ but $S_{\alpha_0} \subseteq \cup_{\alpha} S_{\alpha}$ and so $N(x, \epsilon) \subseteq \cup_{\alpha} S_{\alpha}$ and this shows that $\cup_{\alpha} S_{\alpha}$ is open.

Suppose now that $x \in \cap_{i=1}^n S_i$. Then there exists $\epsilon_i > 0$ so that $N(\epsilon_i, x) \subseteq S_i$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Define $\epsilon = \min\{\epsilon_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. Importantly $\epsilon > 0$. We also have $N(x, \epsilon) \subseteq N(x, \epsilon_i)$ for every i and so $N(x, \epsilon) \subseteq \cap_{i=1}^n S_i$ and this verifies that $\cap_{i=1}^n S_i$ is open. \square

Example: Is $\mathbb{N} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ open or closed or neither? Observe \mathbb{N}^c is a union of unit intervals as well as $(-\infty, 1)$. Therefore \mathbb{N} , as a subset of \mathbb{R} , is closed.

Corollary 0.3. *The intersection $\cap_{\alpha} S_{\alpha}$ of an arbitrary collection $\{S_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A\}$, where A is just an index set, of closed sets is closed.*

The union $\cap_{i=1}^n S_i$ of a finite number of closed sets S_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$ is closed.

Proof. Observe that $\cap_{\alpha} S_{\alpha}$ is closed if and only if its complement

$$(\cap_{\alpha} S_{\alpha})^c = \cup_{\alpha \in A} S_{\alpha}^c$$

is open. \square

Example $\cap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [-2^{-n}, 2^{-n}]$ is closed. What is it? $\cap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (-2^{-n}, 2^{-n})$ need not be open. What is it? Give an example of an infinite collection of closed sets whose union is not closed.

Definition Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. A point $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is said to be an *accumulation point* of S if every deleted neighborhood $N^*(x, \epsilon)$ intersects S , that is for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $s \in S$ so that $0 < |s - x| < \epsilon$. The set of all accumulation points of S is denoted S' .

Definition Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Any point in $S \setminus S'$ is said to be isolated.

In other words $x \in S$ is isolated if there exists $\epsilon > 0$ so that $N(x, \epsilon) \cap S = \{x\}$.

Examples What if $S = [0, 1)$?

Suppose $S = (-1, 0) \cup \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. What is S' and what is $S \setminus S'$? Sketch S . ($S' = [-1, 0]$.) What if $S = \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$?

What if S is a finite set: $S = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n\}$ then what is S' , $\text{int} S$, and what are the isolated points? ($S' = \emptyset = \text{int} S$ and every point of S is isolated.)

Definition The *closure* of a set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is the smallest closed set that contains S . In symbols $\text{cl } S$. Therefore $\text{cl } S \supseteq S$ and $\text{cl } S$ is closed and if T is another closed set that contains S then $\text{cl } S \subseteq T$.

Theorem 0.4. *Let S be a subset of \mathbb{R} . Then $\text{cl } S$ is a closed set and*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cl } S &= S \cup \text{bd } S \\ \text{cl } S &= S \cup S' \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It is obvious from the definition that $\text{cl } S$ is a closed set.

Although $\text{bd } S$ and S' are very different sets, they have the following common trait: If U is an open set that intersects $\text{bd } S$ or S' then U intersects S . Let us check this property for $\text{bd } S$. Suppose that $x \in \text{bd } S \cap U$. Then there is $\epsilon > 0$ so that $N(x, \epsilon) \subseteq U$ because U is open and $N(x, \epsilon)$ intersects S because $x \in \text{bd } S$. Combining these facts we have S intersects U .

A similar argument applies to S' . Suppose that $x \in S' \cap U$. Then there is $\epsilon > 0$ so that $N(x, \epsilon) \subseteq U$ because U is open and $N(x, \epsilon)$ intersects S because $x \in S'$. Therefore U intersect S .

Let us now show that $S \cup \text{bd } S$ is closed. We do this by showing it contains its boundary. Suppose therefore that $x \in \text{bd } (S \cup \text{bd } S)$. Then, for all $\epsilon > 0$ $N(x, \epsilon)$ intersects $S \cup \text{bd } S$ but the above argument assures that the open set $N(x, \epsilon)$ cannot intersect $\text{bd } S$ without also intersecting S and so $N(x, \epsilon)$ must intersect S itself. So $x \in \text{bd } S$. This shows that $S \cup \text{bd } S$ is closed.

A similar argument applies to S' . Suppose therefore that $x \in \text{bd } (S \cup S')$. Then, for all $\epsilon > 0$ $N(x, \epsilon)$ intersects $S \cup S'$ but the above argument assures that the open set $N(x, \epsilon)$ cannot intersect S' without also intersecting S and so $N(x, \epsilon)$ must intersect S itself. We see $S \cup S'$ contains its boundary and is therefore closed.

We can now prove the Theorem. We see immediately that $\text{cl } S \subseteq S \cup \text{bd } S$ because $\text{cl } S$ is the smallest closed set containing S . However if $\text{cl } S$ were a proper subset of $S \cup \text{bd } S$ then there would be $x \in S \cup \text{bd } S$ and $\epsilon > 0$ so that $N(x, \epsilon)$ did not intersect $\text{cl } S$. But this is impossible since either x itself is in S or $x \in \text{bd } S$ and so $N(x, \epsilon)$ must meet S itself and therefore its closure.

The second part of the Theorem follows similarly. It is immediate that $\text{cl } S \subseteq S \cup S'$. However the containment cannot be proper because if $x \in S \cup S'$ but x is not in $\text{cl } S$ then there is $\epsilon > 0$ so that $N(x, \epsilon)$ does not intersect $\text{cl } S$. On the other hand x is either in S or S' and so either x itself is in $S \subseteq \text{cl } S$ or $x \in S'$ in which case $N(x, \epsilon)$ must intersect S and either is a contradiction. \square

Theorem 0.5. *Let S be a subset of \mathbb{R} . The following are equivalent*

1. S is closed.
2. $S = \text{cl } S$
3. S contains all its accumulation points.
4. S contains all its boundary points.

Proof. $1 \Rightarrow 2 \Rightarrow 3 \Rightarrow 4 \Rightarrow 1$ \square