

# UNFUSED INVOLUTIONS IN FINITE GROUPS - AN ADDENDUM

Martin R. Pettet  
 Department of Mathematics  
 The University of Toledo  
 Toledo, Ohio, U.S.A. 43606  
 E-mail: mpettet@math.utoledo.edu

## Abstract

If  $p$  is a prime,  $r$  is an integer and  $p^r > 2$ , there exist infinitely many finite simple non-abelian groups containing an element  $x$  of order  $p^r$  such that if  $P$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  containing  $x$ ,  $x^G \cap P = x^P$ .

Let  $p$  be a prime. In [1], a  $p$ -element  $x$  of a finite group  $G$  was said to be *unfused* if for some (and hence, for any) Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $G$  containing  $x$ , all  $G$ -conjugates of  $x$  in  $P$  are  $P$ -conjugate to it (i.e.  $x^G \cap P = x^P$ ). The main result was that no non-abelian finite simple group can contain an unfused involution and in fact, if  $x$  is an unfused involution in an arbitrary finite group  $G$ , then  $x \notin [G, x]$  (a result which bears some formal similarity to Glauberman's  $Z^*$ -theorem). Mentioned in the introduction was the fact that while this conclusion fails in general for elements of order 4, it holds for elements of arbitrary  $p$ -power order if  $G$  is  $p$ -solvable. In this addendum, we record a simple demonstration that no such generalization of the unfused involution theorem can apply to arbitrary finite groups. In fact, *for any prime power  $p^r \neq 2$ , there exist infinitely many finite, simple, non-abelian groups containing unfused elements of order  $p^r$ .*

To facilitate a relatively uniform treatment of the cases  $p = 2$  and  $p > 2$ , we let  $p^* = 4$  if  $p = 2$  and  $p^* = p$  if  $p > 2$ . For any positive integer  $i$ , let  $i_p$  denote the largest power of  $p$  dividing  $i$ . Note that if  $k, i$  are integers with  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and  $d = \gcd(k, i)$  then the binomial coefficient  $\binom{k}{i}$  is divisible by  $\frac{k}{d}$ . For  $\frac{k}{d} \binom{k-1}{i-1} / \frac{i}{d} = \binom{k}{i} \in \mathbf{Z}$  and so, since  $\gcd(\frac{i}{d}, \frac{k}{d}) = 1$ ,  $\frac{i}{d}$  divides  $\binom{k-1}{i-1}$ , whence,  $\binom{k}{i} / \frac{k}{d} = \binom{k-1}{i-1} / \frac{i}{d} \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

An elementary number theoretic observation is needed to identify Sylow  $p$ -subgroups in our examples; namely, if  $q$  is an integer such that  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{p^*}$  then  $(q^k - 1)_p = k_p(q - 1)_p$  for any positive integer  $k$ . Let  $(q - 1)_p = p^* p^t$  so  $q = ap^* p^t + 1$  with  $\gcd(a, p) = 1$  and  $t \geq 0$ . For any positive integer  $k$ ,

$$q^k - 1 = (ap^* p^t + 1)^k - 1 = \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} a^{k-i} \binom{k}{i} (p^* p^t)^{k-i} + akp^* p^t.$$

Let  $k_p = p^r$ . We claim that for each  $i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq k - 2$ , the corresponding term in the summation above is divisible by  $p^* p^{r+t+1}$ . Let  $i_p = p^u$  and  $j = \min(r, u)$ . By the remark above,  $\binom{k}{i}_p \geq p^{r-j}$  and so it suffices to show

that  $p^{j+1}$  divides  $(p^*)^{k-i-1}p^{t(k-i-1)}$ . Because  $k-i-1 \geq 1$ , we may assume that  $j \geq 1$ . Also  $p^j \leq k-i$  (since, in fact,  $p^j$  divides  $k-i$ ) and so it is enough to show that  $p^{j+1}$  divides  $(p^*)^{p^j-1}$ . But for any  $j \geq 1$ ,  $j+1 \leq 2(2^j-1)$  and if  $p > 2$  then  $j+1 \leq p^j-1$ , so the claim is proved.

If  $s = k/k_p$ , it follows that  $q^k-1 = cp^*p^{r+t+1} + asp^*p^{r+t} = p^*p^{r+t}(cp+as)$  for some  $c \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Since  $\gcd(as, p) = 1$ ,  $(q^k-1)_p = p^*p^{r+t} = k_p(q-1)_p$  as required.

We now proceed with the construction of the promised examples. Let  $p$  be a prime and assume that  $p^r \neq 2$ . Let  $F_q$  be a finite field of order  $q$  where  $(q-1)_p \geq p^*p^r$  and let  $n \geq 3$  be a divisor of  $(q-1)_p/p^r$ . Let  $V = (F_q)^n$  be the natural  $GL_n(F_q)$ -module with standard basis  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  and let  $V_i = \langle v_i \rangle$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

Let  $G = SL_n(F_q)$  and let  $x$  be the  $n \times n$  diagonal matrix  $diag(\lambda, \mu, \dots, \mu)$ , where  $\mu$  is a primitive  $np^r$ -th root of unity in  $F_q$  and  $\lambda = \mu^{1-n}$  (so  $x \in G$ ). Note that  $\lambda^{p^r} = \mu^{p^r}$  but  $\lambda^{p^r-1} = \mu^{p^r-1} \mu^{-np^r-1} \neq \mu^{p^r-1}$  so  $\bar{x} = xZ(G)$  has order  $p^r$  in  $\bar{G} = G/Z(G) \cong PSL_n(F_q)$ . We prove that  $\bar{x}$  is unfused in  $\bar{G}$ .

Let  $M$  denote the subgroup of monomial matrices in  $GL_n(F_q)$  (i.e. those which permute the  $V_i$ 's) so  $M = [D]X \cong (F_q^\times)^n \wr Sym(n)$ , where  $D \cong (F_q^\times)^n$  and  $X \cong Sym(n)$  are the groups of  $n \times n$  diagonal and permutation matrices, respectively. If  $R \in Syl_p(X)$  then  $S = [O_p(D)]R \in Syl_p(M)$ . In fact, application of the number theoretic observation above to the formula  $|GL_n(F_q)| = q^{n(n-1)/2} \prod_{i=1}^n (q^i-1)$  yields that  $|GL_n(F_q)|_p = |M|_p$  and so  $S \in Syl_p(GL_n(F_q))$ . Therefore, if  $P = S \cap G$ , then  $x \in P \in Syl_p(G)$  and  $P$  consists entirely of monomial matrices. Note that  $X \cap G \cong Alt(n)$  and  $R \cap G \in Syl_p(X \cap G)$ , whence, because  $n$  is a power of  $p$  and  $n \geq 3$ ,  $R \cap G$  is transitive on  $\{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n\}$ .

Assume now that  $g \in G$  such that  $x^g \in P$  (so  $x^g$  permutes the  $V_i$ 's). The minimal polynomial of  $x^g$  is the quadratic  $m(t) = (t-\lambda)(t-\mu)$  and so for any  $v \in V$ , the set  $\{v, v^{x^g}, v^{(x^g)^2}\}$  is linearly dependent. In particular, every orbit of  $\langle x^g \rangle$  in  $\{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n\}$  has length at most 2. Therefore,  $(x^g)^2$  leaves each  $V_i$  invariant and so each  $V_i^{g^{-1}}$  is invariant under  $x^2 = diag(\lambda^2, \mu^2, \dots, \mu^2)$ . Since  $\lambda^2 \neq \mu^2$ , this implies that each  $V_i^{g^{-1}}$  is contained either in  $V_1$  or in  $V_2 \oplus V_3 \oplus \dots \oplus V_n$ . But then  $(V_i^{g^{-1}})^x = V_i^{g^{-1}}$  for all  $i$  and so, in fact, each  $V_i$  is invariant under  $x^g$  (i.e.  $x^g$  is a diagonal matrix). The eigenvalues of  $x^g$  being the same as those of  $x$ , there is an integer  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $x^g$  has eigenvalue  $\lambda$  on  $V_k$  and  $\mu$  on  $V_j$  for all  $j \neq k$ . But if  $h \in R \cap G$  such that  $V_1^h = V_k$ , then this is true also of  $x^h$ . Hence,  $x^g = x^h \in x^P$  and so  $\bar{x}^g \in \bar{x}^P$ . This proves that  $\bar{x}^{\bar{G}} \cap \bar{P} = \bar{x}^{\bar{P}}$  and so  $\bar{x}$  is unfused in the simple group  $\bar{G}$ .

**Acknowledgements.** The author is indebted to the Department of Mathematics and Statistics at McGill University for its kind hospitality during the preparation of this note.

## References

- [1] Martin R. Pettet, Unfused involutions in finite groups, *Comm. Algebra* (to appear).